## WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT COMMISSION

## SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

ORDER NO. 18,433

IN THE MATTER OF:	Served October 16, 2019
KTS SOLUTIONS, INC., Suspension and ) Investigation of Revocation of )	Case No. MP-2018-094
investigation of Revocation of /	
Certificate No. 2315	

This matter is before the Commission on respondent's failure to respond to Order No. 17,762, served August 13, 2018.

## I. BACKGROUND

Certificate No. 2315 was automatically suspended on June 9, 2018, pursuant to Regulation No. 58-12 when the \$1.5 million primary WMATC Insurance Endorsement on file for respondent terminated without replacement. Order No. 17,668, served June 11, 2018, noted the automatic suspension of Certificate No. 2315, directed respondent to cease transporting passengers for hire under Certificate No. 2315, and gave respondent 30 days to replace the terminated endorsement and pay the \$100 late fee due under Regulation No. 67-03(c) or face revocation of Certificate No. 2315.

Respondent submitted a \$1.5 million primary WMATC Insurance Endorsement on July 6, 2018, and paid the late fee on July 9, 2018, and the suspension was lifted on July 9, 2018, in Order No. 17,715. However, because the effective date of the new endorsement was July 3, 2018, instead of June 9, 2018, thereby creating a 24-day coverage gap, Order No. 17,715 gave respondent 30 days to submit, in accordance with Regulation No. 58-14, a statement verifying cessation of operations as of June 9, 2018. The order also directed respondent to produce copies of its business records pertaining to any and all operations under WMATC authority from April 1, 2018, through July 9, 2018. Respondent produced neither a statement nor any business records.

Regulation No. 58-14(b) states that upon the failure of a carrier to comply timely with the requirements of Regulation No. 58-14(a), "the Executive Director shall issue an order directing the carrier to show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against the carrier and/or why the carrier's operating authority should not be suspended or revoked."

Accordingly, pursuant to Regulation No. 58-14(b), Order No. 17,762, issued August 13, 2018, gave respondent 30 days to show cause why the Commission should not assess a civil forfeiture against respondent and/or suspend or revoke Certificate No. 2315. Respondent has yet to respond.

While this proceeding was pending, respondent allowed its WMATC Endorsement to terminate without replacement once again, and Certificate No. 2315 was revoked in a separate proceeding in accordance with Regulation No. 58-15(a) when respondent did not replace it within 30 days.  $^1$ 

### II. ASSESSMENT OF FORFEITURE

A person who knowingly and willfully violates a provision of the Compact, or a rule, regulation, requirement, or order issued under it, or a term or condition of a certificate shall be subject to a civil forfeiture of not more than \$1,000\$ for the first violation and not more than \$5,000\$ for any subsequent violation.

The Commission may suspend or revoke all or part of any certificate of authority for willful failure to comply with a provision of the Compact, an order, rule, or regulation of the Commission, or a term, condition, or limitation of the certificate.<sup>3</sup>

The term "knowingly" means with perception of the underlying facts, not that such facts establish a violation. The terms "willful" and "willfully" do not mean with evil purpose or criminal intent; rather, they describe conduct marked by intentional or careless disregard or plain indifference.

Because respondent has failed to respond to Order No. 17,715 and has offered no explanation for this failure, we find that respondent has failed to show cause why the Commission should not assess a civil forfeiture of  $$250.^6$  Normally, we would also revoke Certificate No.  $2315^7$  but respondent's certificate already stands revoked.

# THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. That pursuant to Article XIII, Section 6(f), of the Compact, the Commission hereby assesses a civil forfeiture against respondent in the amount of \$250 for knowingly and willfully violating Order No. 17,715.
- 2. That respondent is hereby directed to pay to the Commission within 30 days of the date of this order, by money order, certified

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In re KTS Sols., Inc., No. MP-19-103, Order No. 18,307 (Aug. 6, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Compact, tit. II, art. XIII, § 6(f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 10(c).

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  In re Primo Exec. Transp. Servs., LLC, No. MP-17-044, Order No. 17,238 (Oct. 4, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Id.

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  See id. (assessing \$250 forfeiture for failure to comply with order to verify cessation of operations and produce business records).

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  See id. (revoking certificate of authority for failure to comply with order to verify cessation of operations and produce business records).

check, or cashier's check, the sum of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250).

BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION; COMMISSIONERS MAROOTIAN, HOLCOMB, AND RICHARD:

Jeffrey M. Lehmann

Executive Director